arXiv:1407.6457v2 [math.RT] 1 Oct 2014

A CATEGORIFICATION OF $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-CRYSTALS

DIMITAR GRANTCHAROV[1], JI HYE JUNG[2], SEOK-JIN KANG[3], MYUNGHO KIM

Abstract. We provide a categorification of $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystals on the singular $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-category $\mathcal{O}_n$. Our result extends the $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal structure on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ defined by Bernstein-Frenkel-Khovanov. Further properties of the $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ are also discussed.

Introduction

The *crystal basis theory* is one of the most prominent discoveries in the modern representation theory. Crystal bases, which can be understood as *global bases* at $q = 0$, have been introduced by Kashiwara [16, 17, 18] and have many significant applications to a wide variety of mathematical and physical theories. In particular, their nice behavior with respect to tensor products leads to elegant explanations of a lot of combinatorial phenomena such as combinatorics of Young tableaux and Young walls [15, 20]. On the other hand, Lusztig took a geometric approach to develop the *canonical basis theory*, which turned out to be deeply related to *categorification theory* as is the case with global basis theory.

In [2], Bernstein, Frenkel and Khovanov discovered a close connection between the singular $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-category $\mathcal{O}_n$ and the n-fold tensor power $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes n}$, where $\mathbf{V}$ is the 2-dimensional natural representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. Their result initiated the categorification program of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-representation theory, which was extended to the quantum algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ [27] and to general tensor products of finite-dimensional $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules [7]. That is, they obtained several versions of (weak) $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-*categorification* in the sense of Chuang and Rouquier [4].

In recent years, there is growing interest in the crystal basis theory of the quantum superalgebras. A major accomplishment in this direction is the development of crystal basis theory of the quantum superalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m|n))$ for the tensor modules; i.e., the modules arising from tensor powers of the natural representation [1]. Such a theory was developed for the quantum superalgebras $U_q(\mathfrak{q}(n))$ for the category of tensor modules

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37, 81R50.

Key words and phrases. crystal bases, odd Kashiwara operators, quantum queer superalgebras, $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-categorification.

[1]This work was partially supported by NSA grant H98230-13-1-0245.

[2]This work was supported by NRF Grant # 2014-021261 and by NRF-2013R1A1A2063671.

[3]This work was supported by NRF Grant # 2014-021261 and by NRF Grant # 2013-055408.

[8, 9] and $U_q(\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n))$ for a certain semisimple tensor category of $U_q(\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n))$-modules [19].

The $\mathfrak{q}(n)$-case is especially interesting and challenging both from algebraic and combinatorial perspectives. A definition of $U_q(\mathfrak{q}(n))$ was first introduced in [25] using the Fadeev-Reshetikhin-Turaev formalism. In [11], an equivalent definition of $U_q(\mathfrak{q}(n))$ was given in the spirit of Drinfeld-Jimbo presentation and the highest weight representation theory was developed. Moreover, in [8, 9, 10], the crystal basis theory for $U_q(\mathfrak{q}(n))$-modules was established, which provides a representation theoretic interpretation of combinatorics of semistandard decomposition tableaux.

We now explain the main result of this paper. One important consequence of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification in [2] is that the set $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ of isomorphism classes of simple objects in $\mathcal{O}_n$ admits a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal structure. The categorified Kashiwara operators $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are constructed using the translation functors given by the n-dimensional natural $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module $L(e_1)$ and its dual $L(e_1)^*$.

In the present paper, we investigate $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal structure on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$. We also use the translation functors to construct the categorified odd Kashiwara operators $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$. However, we use the infinite-dimensional irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module $L(e_n)$ with highest weight e_n and its dual $L(e_n)^*$, which fits very naturally in our setting. We believe our result is the first step toward the $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-categorification theory and it will generate various interesting developments in categorical representation theory of (quantum) superalgebras.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In the first two sections, we collect some of basic definitions and properties related to the $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal structure on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$. The third section is devoted to the properties of $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystals used in this paper. The definition of categorified odd Kashiwara operators on $\mathcal{O}_n$, as well as the main result of this paper, are included in Section 4. In the last section, we discuss further properties of the $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystals related to parabolic subcategories of $\mathcal{O}_n$.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank V. Mazorchuk for the fruitful discussions and for bringing our attention to the paper [14]. The first author would like to thank Seoul National University for the warm hospitality and the excellent working conditions.

1. The category $\mathcal{O}_n$

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}_n$ ($n \geq 2$) be the general linear Lie algebra with triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$. We denote by $U(\mathfrak{g})$ its universal enveloping algebra and by $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and identify $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\mathfrak{h}^*$, the dual of $\mathfrak{h}$. Thus $\Delta := \{e_i - e_j \mid i < j\}$ is the set of positive roots and $\Pi := \{e_i - e_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ is the set of simple roots. The Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_n, which acts on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ by permuting e_i's.

We say that a $\mathfrak{g}$-module M is a *weight module* if

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M^\lambda, \quad \text{where} \quad M^\lambda = \{m \in M \mid hm = \lambda(h)m \ \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

A linear functional $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ is called a *weight* of M if $M^\lambda \neq 0$. We denoted by $\mathrm{Supp}(M)$ the set of weights of M. Note that any weight of M is a linear combination of e_i's. For a weight module $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} M^\lambda$, let $M^* := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M^\lambda, \mathbb{C})$ be the restricted dual of M with the $\mathfrak{g}$-module action given by

$$(gf)(m) = f(-gm) \ \text{ for } g \in \mathfrak{g}, f \in M^*, m \in M.$$

Note that $\mathrm{Supp}(M^*) = -\mathrm{Supp}(M)$

Let $\mathbf{V} = \mathbb{C}v_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}v_2$ be the 2-dimensional natural representation of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$, where the $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-action is given by left multiplication. Hence we have $\mathrm{wt}(v_1) = e_1$ and $\mathrm{wt}(v_2) = e_2$. Recall that the special linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$ generated by

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus its universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is the associative $\mathbb{C}$-algebra generated by E, F, H with defining relations

$$EF - FE = H, \quad HE - EH = 2E, \quad HF - FH = -2F.$$

The $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-action on $\mathbf{V}$ induces an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-action given by

$$\begin{aligned} &Hv_1 = v_1, \quad Ev_1 = 0, \quad Fv_1 = v_2, \\ &Hv_2 = -v_2, \quad Ev_2 = v_1, \quad Fv_2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-weight of v_1 is 1 and that of v_2 is -1. For each $n \geq 2$, the tensor space $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes n}$ admits a $U(\mathfrak{s}l_2)$-module structure via the comultiplication $\Delta : U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \to U(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \otimes U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ given by

$$\Delta(E) = E \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes E, \quad \Delta(F) = F \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes F, \quad \Delta(H) = H \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes H.$$

Let $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the category of all weight modules M such that $\dim M^\lambda < \infty$ for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. We denote by $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(\mathfrak{g})$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{W}(\mathfrak{g})$ consisting of finitely generated $U(\mathfrak{g})$-modules that are locally $U(\mathfrak{n}_+)$-nilpotent. The category $\mathcal{O}$ is known as the *Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand category*.

Let

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i<j}(e_i - e_j) = \frac{n-1}{2}e_1 + \frac{n-3}{2}e_2 + \cdots + \frac{1-n}{2}e_n,$$

the half sum of positive roots. For a sequence $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ of 1's and 2's, we denote by $M(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ and $L(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ the Verma module with highest weight $a_1e_1 + \cdots + a_ne_n - \rho$ and its simple quotient, respectively.

For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $\mathcal{O}_{i,n-i}$ to be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}$ consisting of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-modules M whose composition factors are of the form $L(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ with exactly i-many 2's. The category $\mathcal{O}_{i,n-i}$ is a singular block of $\mathcal{O}$ corresponding to the subgroup $S_i \times S_{n-i}$ of S_n. For $i < 0$ or $i > n$, $\mathcal{O}_{i,n-i}$ consists of the zero object only. We define

$$\mathcal{O}_n := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{i,n-i}, \tag{1.1}$$

the main category of our interest. We denote $G(\mathcal{O}_n) := \mathbb{C} \otimes K(\mathcal{O}_n)$, where $K(\mathcal{O}_n)$ is the Grothendieck group of $\mathcal{O}_n$. As usual, we write $[M]$ for the isomorphism class of an object M in $\mathcal{O}_n$.

An alternative description of $\mathcal{O}_{i,n-i}$ is given as follows. Let $\chi : \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{C}$ be an algebra homomorphism. We define $\mathcal{O}_\chi$ to be the subcategory of $\mathcal{O}$ consisting of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules M such that for each $z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $m \in M$, we have $(z - \chi(z))^k m = 0$ for some $k > 0$. Then we get the *central character decomposition*

$$\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})^\vee} \mathcal{O}_\chi,$$

where $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})^\vee$ denotes the set of all algebra homomorphisms $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathbb{C}$. Note that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on a highest weight module with highest weight λ by a constant $\chi^\lambda(z)$ $(z \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}))$. We will write $\mathcal{O}_\lambda$ for $\mathcal{O}_{\chi^\lambda}$.

On the other hand, for each $\nu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, set $\overline{\nu} = \nu + \mathbb{Z}\Delta \in \mathfrak{h}^*/\mathbb{Z}\Delta$, where $\mathbb{Z}\Delta$ denotes the root lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$. We define $\mathcal{O}[\overline{\nu}]$ to be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}$ consisting of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-modules M such that $\mathrm{wt}(M) \subset \overline{\nu}$. Then we have *the support decomposition*

$$\mathcal{O} = \bigoplus_{\overline{\nu} \in \mathfrak{h}^*/\mathbb{Z}\Delta} \mathcal{O}[\overline{\nu}].$$

The category $\mathcal{O}_{i,n-i}$ coincides with $\mathcal{O}_{\omega_i - \rho}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\omega_i - \rho}$ is a full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}[\overline{\omega_i - \rho}]$, where

$$\omega_i := 2\sum_{j=1}^{i} e_j + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} e_j$$

is the shifted i-th fundamental weight.

Similarly, the category $\mathcal{W}$ has the central character decomposition and the support decomposition

$$\mathcal{W} = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})^\vee} \mathcal{W}_\chi = \bigoplus_{\overline{\nu} \in \mathfrak{h}^*/\mathbb{Z}\Delta} \mathcal{W}[\overline{\nu}].$$

Note that $\mathcal{W}$ has the central character decomposition due to the fact that the $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$-action is stable on each weight space. Set

$$\mathcal{W}_\lambda := \mathcal{W}_{\chi^\lambda}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{i,n-i} := \mathcal{W}_{\omega_i-\rho} \cap \mathcal{W}[\overline{\omega_i-\rho}], \quad \mathcal{W}_n := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{W}_{i,n-i}.$$

For each $0 \le i \le n$, let $\mathrm{pr}_i : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W}_{i,n-i}$ be the canonical projection. Clearly, $\mathrm{pr}_i(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}_{i,n-i}$. Following [2], we define

$$\mathcal{E}_i : \mathcal{O}_{i,n-i} \to \mathcal{O}_{i+1,n-i-1},\ \mathcal{E}_i = \mathrm{pr}_{i+1} \circ \big(- \otimes L(e_1)\big), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_i : \mathcal{O}_{i,n-i} \to \mathcal{O}_{i-1,n-i+1},\ \mathcal{F}_i = \mathrm{pr}_{i-1} \circ \big(- \otimes L(e_1)^*\big), \tag{1.3}$$

where $L(e_1)$ is the n-dimensional natural representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. Now we define the exact endofunctors $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{O}_n$ by

$$\mathcal{E} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{E}_i, \quad \mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \mathcal{F}_i. \tag{1.4}$$

We denote by $[\mathcal{E}]$ and $[\mathcal{F}]$ the linear endomorphisms on $G(\mathcal{O}_n)$ induced from the functors $\mathcal{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}$, respectively.

The following theorem plays an important role in this paper.

Theorem 1.1. ([2])

(1) $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F})$ is a biadjoint pair.
(2) The correspondence $E \mapsto [\mathcal{E}]$, $F \mapsto [\mathcal{F}]$ defines a $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-action on $G(\mathcal{O}_n)$.
(3) The simple objects in $\mathcal{O}_n$ correspond to weight vectors in $G(\mathcal{O}_n)$.
(4) There is a $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Upsilon \ : \ G(\mathcal{O}_n) & \to & \mathbf{V}^{\otimes n} \\ [M(a_1,\dots,a_n)] & \mapsto & v_{a_1'} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{a_n'}, \end{array} \tag{1.5}$$

where $1' := 2$ and $2' := 1$.

Theorem 1.2. ([4, §7.4.3]) The category $\mathcal{O}_n$ provides an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification in the sense of Chuang-Rouquier.

2. $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal structure on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$

In this section, we will discuss the $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal structure on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$, the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects in $\mathcal{O}_n$. We first recall the definition of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal.

Set $P := \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_2$ and $\alpha_1 := e_1 - e_2$. Let (k_1, k_2) be the basis of P^* which is dual to (e_1, e_2). The natural pairing $P^* \times P \to \mathbb{Z}$ is denoted by $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$.

Definition 2.1. An *(abstract)* $\mathfrak{gl}_2$*-crystal* is a set B together with the maps $\tilde{e}, \tilde{f} \colon B \to B \sqcup \{0\}$, $\varphi, \varepsilon \colon B \to \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}$, and $\mathrm{wt} \colon B \to P$ satisfying the following conditions (see [18]):

(i) $\mathrm{wt}(\tilde{e}b) = \mathrm{wt}\, b + \alpha_1$ if $\tilde{e}b \neq 0$,
(ii) $\mathrm{wt}(\tilde{f}b) = \mathrm{wt}\, b - \alpha_1$ if $\tilde{f}b \neq 0$,
(iii) for any $b \in B$, $\varphi(b) = \varepsilon(b) + \langle k_1 - k_2, \mathrm{wt}\, b\rangle$,
(iv) for any $b, b' \in B$, $\tilde{f}b = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}b'$,
(v) for any $b \in B$ such that $\tilde{e}b \neq 0$, we have $\varepsilon(\tilde{e}b) = \varepsilon(b) - 1$, $\varphi(\tilde{e}_i b) = \varphi(b) + 1$,
(vi) for any $b \in B$ such that $\tilde{f}b \neq 0$, we have $\varepsilon(\tilde{f}b) = \varepsilon(b) + 1$, $\varphi(\tilde{f}b) = \varphi(b) - 1$,
(vii) for any $b \in B$ such that $\varphi(b) = -\infty$, we have $\tilde{e}b = \tilde{f}b = 0$.

For each object $S \in \mathcal{O}_n$, set

$$\varphi(S) := \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\,;\, \mathcal{F}^m(S) \neq 0\}, \quad \varepsilon(S) := \max\{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\,;\, \mathcal{E}^m(S) \neq 0\}.$$

The $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification on $\mathcal{O}_n$ has the following nice properties.

Proposition 2.2. ([4, Proposition 5.20], [21, Proposition 2.3])
Let S be a simple object in $\mathcal{O}_n$ with $\varepsilon(S) \neq 0$ (respectively, $\varphi(S) \neq 0$).

(1) The object $\mathcal{E}(S)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{F}(S)$) has simple socle and simple head, and they are isomorphic to each other.
(2) For any other subquotient S' of $\mathcal{E}(S)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{F}(S)$), we have $\varepsilon(S') \leq \varepsilon(S) - 1$ (respectively, $\varphi(S') \leq \varphi(S) - 1$).

For a simple object S in $\mathcal{O}_n$, let $\mathsf{wt}([S]) \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-weight of $[S]$ in $G(\mathcal{O}_n)$. Define

$$\tilde{e}([S]) := [\mathrm{hd}\, \mathcal{E}(S)], \quad \tilde{f}([S]) := [\mathrm{hd}\, \mathcal{F}(S)].$$

Since the head and socle of $\mathcal{E}(S)$ are isomorphic, we may define $\tilde{e}([S]) = [\mathrm{soc}(\mathcal{E}(S))]$ and similarly for $\tilde{f}([S])$.

Then $\big(\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n), \mathsf{wt}, \varphi, \varepsilon, \tilde{e}, \tilde{f}\big)$ becomes an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-crystal (see the last paragraph of [21, §2.4]). For example, if $\mathrm{hd}\, \mathcal{E}(S) \cong S'$, then we have

$$0 \neq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_n}(\mathcal{E}(S), S') \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_n}(S, \mathcal{F}(S')).$$

Thus S is a simple submodule of $\mathcal{F}(S')$ so that we have $S \cong \mathrm{soc}\, \mathcal{F}(S')$ by the above proposition. That is, if $\tilde{e}([S]) = [S']$, then $[S] = \tilde{f}([S'])$ as desired.

Note that, by the $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module isomorphism in Theorem 1.1(5), the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-weight of $[L(a_1, \ldots, a_n)]$ is given by

$$\mathsf{wt}([L(a_1, \ldots, a_n)]) = \sharp\{i \mid a_i = 2\} - \sharp\{i \mid a_i = 1\}.$$

Hence by setting

$$\mathrm{wt}(L(a_1, \ldots, a_n)) := (\sharp\{i \mid a_i = 2\})e_1 + (\sharp\{i \mid a_i = 1\})e_2,$$

$\big(\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n), \mathrm{wt}, \varphi, \varepsilon, \tilde{e}, \tilde{f}\big)$ becomes a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal.

Let $\mathbf{B} = \{b_1, b_2\}$ be the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-crystal of $\mathbf{V}$. By defining $\mathrm{wt}(b_1) = e_1$, $\mathrm{wt}(b_2) = e_2$, $\mathbf{B}$ becomes a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal. Recall that the *tensor product rule for* $\mathfrak{gl}_2$*-crystals* gives a

$\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal structure on $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes n} = \mathbf{B} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{B}$ (see, for example, (3.1)). The following theorem describes the $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal structure on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$.

Theorem 2.3. ([3, Theorem 4.4])
As a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal, $\big(\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n), \mathrm{wt}, \varphi, \varepsilon, \tilde{e}, \tilde{f}\big)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes n}$ under the map

$$[L(a_1, \ldots, a_n)] \mapsto b_{a'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_{a'_n}.$$

Remark 2.4. Note that the functors e_1 and f_1 defined in [3] correspond to our functors $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}$, respectively. If we take the full subgraph of the $\mathfrak{gl}_\infty$-crystal $\mathbb{Z}^n$ given in [3] with vertices $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}} := \{(a_1, \ldots, a_n)\,;\, a_i \in \{1, 2\}\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, then $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}$ can be regarded as a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal in a natural way. Remark that in [3], the opposite tensor product rule for $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystals was used. The map $\psi : (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \mapsto (b_{a'_1}, \ldots, b_{a'_n})$ becomes a bijection between $\widetilde{\mathbf{B}}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ satisfying $\psi(\tilde{f}_1(a_1, \ldots, a_n)) = \tilde{e}(\psi(a_1, \ldots, a_n))$ and $\psi(\tilde{e}_1(a_1, \ldots, a_n)) = \tilde{f}(\psi(a_1, \ldots, a_n))$.

3. $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystals

In this section we recall the definition of $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal and provide a description of the connected components of $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes n}$ as $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystals. The notion of *abstract* $\mathfrak{q}(n)$*-crystal* and the *queer tensor product rule* are introduced in [8, 9, 10]. In this paper, we consider $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystals only.

Definition 3.1. An $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-*crystal* is a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal together with the maps $\tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}, \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}} \colon B \to B \sqcup \{0\}$ satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\mathrm{wt}(B) \subset P^{\geq 0} := \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} e_2$,
(ii) $\mathrm{wt}(\tilde{e}_{\overline{1}} b) = \mathrm{wt}(b) + \alpha_1$, $\mathrm{wt}(\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}} b) = \mathrm{wt}(b) - \alpha_1$,
(iii) for all $b, b' \in B$, $\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}} b = b'$ if and only if $b = \tilde{e}_{\overline{1}} b'$.

Note that in [8, 9], the $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystals satisfying the above conditions are called *abstract* $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystals. In this paper, we simply call them $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystals.

Let B be a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal (respectively, a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal) and let B' be a subset of B. We say that B' is a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-*subcrystal* (respectively, $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-*subcrystal*) of B, if $x(b) \in B' \sqcup \{0\}$ for every $b \in B'$ and $x = \tilde{e}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}, \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}$ (respectively, $x = \tilde{e}, \tilde{f}$).

The queer tensor product rule is given in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. [8, 9, 10]
Let B_1 and B_2 be $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystals. Define the *tensor product* $B_1 \otimes B_2$ of B_1 and B_2 to be $(B_1 \times B_2, \mathrm{wt}, \varphi, \varepsilon, \tilde{e}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}, \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}})$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{wt}(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \mathrm{wt}(b_1) + \mathrm{wt}(b_2), \\
\varepsilon(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \max\{\varepsilon(b_1) - \varphi(b_1) + \varepsilon(b_2),\ \varepsilon(b_1)\}, \\
\varphi(b_1 \otimes b_2) &= \max\{\varphi(b_1) - \varepsilon(b_2) + \varphi(b_2),\ \varphi(b_2)\},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\tilde{e}(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \begin{cases} \tilde{e}b_1 \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi(b_1) \geq \varepsilon(b_2), \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{e}b_2 & \text{if } \varphi(b_1) < \varepsilon(b_2), \end{cases}$$
$$\tilde{f}(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \begin{cases} \tilde{f}b_1 \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi(b_1) > \varepsilon(b_2), \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}b_2 & \text{if } \varphi(b_1) \leq \varepsilon(b_2), \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

$$\tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}b_1 \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \langle k_1, \operatorname{wt} b_2\rangle = \langle k_2, \operatorname{wt} b_2\rangle = 0, \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}b_2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
$$\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}b_1 \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \langle k_1, \operatorname{wt} b_2\rangle = \langle k_2, \operatorname{wt} b_2\rangle = 0, \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}b_2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Then $B_1 \otimes B_2$ is a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal.

For a given $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal, we draw an arrow $b \xrightarrow{1} b'$ if and only if $\tilde{f}(b) = b'$ and draw an arrow $b \overset{\overline{1}}{-\!\!\succ} b'$ if and only if $\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}(b) = b'$. The resulting oriented graph is called a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-*crystal graph*.

For a vertex b in a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal graph B, we denote by $C(b)$ the connected component of b in B. The connected component as a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal will be denoted by $C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(b)$.

An element b in a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal (respectively, $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal) is called a *highest weight vector* (respectively, $\mathfrak{gl}_2$ *-highest weight vector*) if $\tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}b = \tilde{e}b = 0$ (respectively, $\tilde{e}b = 0$). If $\varphi(b) = 0$ and $\tilde{e}^{\varepsilon(b)}b$ is a highest weight vector, then we call b a *lowest weight vector*.

Example 3.3.

(1) Let $\mathbf{B} = \{b_1, b_2\}$ be the $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal of $\mathbf{V}$. Define

$$\tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}(b_1) = 0, \quad \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}(b_1) = b_2, \quad \tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}(b_2) = b_1, \quad \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}(b_2) = 0.$$

Then $\mathbf{B}$ is a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal with $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal graph

$$1 \overset{1}{\underset{\overline{1}}{-\!\!\succ\!\!-}} 2$$

From now on, b_1 and b_2 are identified with 1 and 2, respectively.

(2) By the queer tensor product rule, $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes r}$ is a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal. The $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal structure of $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes 4}$ is given below.



Here we identify a sequence $a_1 \cdots a_r$ ($a_i \in \{1, 2\}$) with the element $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_r \in \mathbf{B}^{\otimes r}$.

(3) The connected component $C(22122122) \subset \mathbf{B}^{\otimes 8}$ is given below:



In Example 3.3(2), we can observe the following decompositions of $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystals.

Proposition 3.4. For $r \geq 2$, the connected component $C(1^r)$ in $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes r}$ is decomposed into

$$C(1^r) = C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^r) \sqcup C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^{r-1}2) \cong C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^{r-1}) \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\mathfrak{gl}_2},$$

as $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystals.

Proof. Let $b \in \mathbf{B}^{\otimes r}$. It is not difficult to see that $\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}} \tilde{f}^x \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}} b = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Note that 1^r is the only vector in $C(1^r)$ annihilated by $\tilde{e}$ and $\tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}$ by [9, Theorem 4.6(b)]. Hence,

an element of $C(1^r) \sqcup \{0\}$ is one of the form

$$\tilde{f}^x(1^r), \ \tilde{f}^y \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}} \tilde{f}^x(1^r), \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

Clearly, $\tilde{f}^x(1^r) \in C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^r) \sqcup \{0\}$ and $\tilde{f}^x \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}(1^r) \in C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^{r-1}2) \sqcup \{0\}$. By direct calculations, we have

$$\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}} \tilde{f}^x(1^r) = \begin{cases} 2^x 1^{r-1-x} 2 = \tilde{f}^x \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}(1^r) \in C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^{r-1}2) & \text{if } \ 0 \leq x \leq r-2, \\ 2^x 2 = \tilde{f}^r(1^r) \in C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^r) & \text{if } \ x = r-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then it is clear that $\tilde{f}^y \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}} \tilde{f}^x(1^r) \in C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^r) \sqcup C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^{r-1}2) \sqcup \{0\}$. Hence, $C(1^r) \subseteq C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^r) \sqcup C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^{r-1}2)$. Since $1^r, 1^{r-1}2 = \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}(1^r) \in C(1^r)$, it follows that $C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^r) \sqcup C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^{r-1}2) = C(1^r)$.

Now we show $C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^r) \sqcup C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^{r-1}2) \cong C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^{r-1}) \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}$. We can regard $C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^{r-1}) \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}$ as a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-subcrystal of $\mathbf{B}_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}^{\otimes r}$. Note that b is a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-highest weight vector in $\mathbf{B}_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}^{\otimes r}$ if and only if b is a lattice permutation. Since $C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^{r-1}) = \{2^x 1^{r-1-x} \, ; 0 \leq x \leq r-1\}$, there are only two $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-highest weight vectors in $C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^{r-1}) \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}$: 1^r and $1^{r-1}2$. Hence, $C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^{r-1}) \otimes \mathbf{B}_{\mathfrak{gl}_2} = C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^r) \sqcup C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^{r-1}2)$. □

Recall that a finite sequence of positive integers $x = x_1 \cdots x_N$ is called a *strict reverse lattice permutation* if for $1 \leq k \leq N$ and $2 \leq i \leq n$, the number of occurrences of i is strictly greater than the number of occurrences of $i-1$ in $x_k \cdots x_N$ as long as $i-1$ appears in $x_k \cdots x_N$ [10].

Proposition 3.5. [10] An element $b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_N \in \mathbf{B}^{\otimes N}$ is a lowest weight vector if and only if it is a strict reverse lattice permutation.

We say that a sequence consisting of 1's and 2's is a *trivial lattice permutation* if

(i) the number of 1's and the number of 2's are the same,

(ii) in every proper initial part, the number of occurrences of 1 is strictly larger than the number of occurrences of 2.

For a sequence u in $\{1, 2\}$, we denote by $|u|$ the length of u.

Proposition 3.6.

(1) Let $\ell = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_r$ be a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-lowest weight vector in $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes r}$. Then there is a unique way to decompose ℓ into the form

$$\ell = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_s 2$$

such that every u_i is a trivial lattice permutation or a maximal subsequence consisting of 2's only.

(2) Let A_ℓ be the set of positive integers k with $1 \le k \le r-1$ such that

$$|u_1| + |u_2| + \cdots + |u_{i-1}| < k \le |u_1| + |u_2| + \cdots + |u_i|,$$

where u_i is a trivial lattice permutation. For $b = b_1 \cdots b_r \in \mathbf{B}^{\otimes r}$, define $\widehat{b}$ to be the sequence obtained from b by removing all b_k's for $k \in A_\ell$. We also define $\overline{b}$ to be the subsequence $b_{k_1} b_{k_2} \cdots b_{k_m}$ of b, where $A_\ell = \{k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_m\}$.

Then we have

(a) $C(\ell) = \left\{ b \in \mathbf{B}^{\otimes r} \,;\, \widehat{b} \in C(\widehat{\ell}),\ \ \overline{b} = \overline{\ell} \right\}$.

(b) The map $C(\ell) \to C(\widehat{\ell})$ given by $b \mapsto \widehat{b}$ is a bijection that commutes with $\tilde{e}$, $\tilde{f}$, $\tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}$, $\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}$.

Proof. Since ℓ is a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-lowest weight vector, it is a strict reverse lattice permutation by Corollary 3.5. In particular, we have $a_r = 2$. If $\ell = 2^r$, we have $u_1 = 2^{r-1}$. If $\ell \neq 2^r$, let a_j be the *leftmost* 1 that occurs in ℓ. By the definition, $a_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_r$ is also a strict reverse lattice permutation, therefore, the number of occurrences of 2 is strictly greater than the number of occurrences of 1 in $a_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_r$. Hence, there is the smallest k such that $j+1 \le k \le r-1$ and the number of occurrences of 2 is equal to the number of occurrences of 1 in $a_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_k$. We let $u_1 = a_1 \cdots a_{j-1} = 2^{j-1}$, $u_2 = a_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_k$ when $j \ge 2$, and $u_1 = a_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_k$ when $j = 1$. Since k is the smallest one and the number of occurrences of 2 is equal to the number of occurrences of 1 in $a_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_k$, the subsequence $a_j a_{j+1} \cdots a_k$ is a trivial lattice permutation. Since $a_{k+1} \cdots a_r$ is also a strict reverse lattice permutation, we repeat the above procedure. By the construction, it is straightforward that the decomposition of ℓ into the form $\ell = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_s 2$ is unique.

Let $M := \left\{ b \in \mathbf{B}^{\otimes r} \,;\, \widehat{b} \in C(\widehat{\ell}),\ \overline{b} = \overline{\ell} \right\}$. By defining $\widehat{0} := 0$, we obtain a bijection between $M \sqcup \{0\}$ and $C(\widehat{\ell}) \sqcup \{0\}$ given by $b \mapsto \widehat{b}$. We will show that this bijection commutes with $\tilde{e}$, $\tilde{f}$, $\tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}$.

Note that $\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}, \tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}$ act only on b_r for $b \in \mathbf{B}^{\otimes r}$. In addition, we have $r \notin A_\ell$ so that $\widehat{b} = u b_r$ for some u. It follows that

$$\widehat{\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}(b)} = \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}(\widehat{b}), \quad \widehat{\tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}(b)} = \tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}(\widehat{b}).$$

We know that

$$\varphi(b) = \max\left\{ k \ge 0 \,;\, \tilde{f}(b) \in \mathbf{B}^{\otimes r} \right\} \text{ and } \varepsilon(b) = \max\left\{ k \ge 0 \,;\, \tilde{e}(b) \in \mathbf{B}^{\otimes r} \right\}.$$

Since $\overline{b} = \overline{\ell}$ is a sequence of trivial lattice permutations, we have $\varphi(b) = \varphi(\widehat{b})$ and $\varepsilon(b) = \varepsilon(\widehat{b})$. In particular, we have $\tilde{f}(\widehat{b}) = 0$ if and only if $\tilde{f}(b) = 0$, and $\tilde{e}(\widehat{b}) = 0$ if and only if $\tilde{e}(b) = 0$.

Assume that $\tilde{f}(b) \neq 0$. Then we have $\tilde{f}(b) = b_1 \cdots \tilde{f}(b_t) \cdots b_r$ for some $1 \leq t \leq r$. Since $\varphi(u) = \varepsilon(u) = 0$ for every trivial lattice permutation u, the tensor product rule implies that $t \notin A_\ell$ and $\tilde{f}(\widehat{b}) = \widehat{\tilde{f}(b)}$. Similarly, if $\tilde{e}(b) \neq 0$, then we have $\widehat{\tilde{e}(b)} = \tilde{e}(\widehat{b})$.

Hence the bijection $b \mapsto \widehat{b}$ commutes with $\tilde{e}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}$. It follows that the set $M \sqcup \{0\}$ is closed under the actions $\tilde{e}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}, \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}$ and M is connected. Since $\ell \in M$, we have $C(\ell) \subseteq M$ and hence $C(\ell) = M$, as desired.

□

Example 3.7. In Example 3.3(4), the element $\ell = 22122122$ is a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-lowest weight vector in $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes 8}$. Then we obtain $A_\ell = \{3, 4, 6, 7\}$, $\widehat{\ell} = 2222$ and $\overline{\ell} = 1212$. We also have $C(\ell) \cong C(2222) = C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^4) \sqcup C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}(1^3 2)$.

We close this section with a theorem that will be useful in the next section. Let $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ be a sequence of 1's and 2's. We denote by $G(\mathbf{a}')$ the basis element of $\mathbf{V}^{\otimes n}$ corresponding to $[L(\mathbf{a})]$ under Υ, where $\mathbf{a}' = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)' := (a'_1, \ldots, a'_n)$. We write $\mathbf{a}x = (a_1, \ldots, a_n, x)$ for $x = 1, 2$.

Then we have the following.

Theorem 3.8. ([2, Proposition 4], see also [6, Theorem 3.1])
Let $\mathbf{a}$, $\mathbf{a}_1$ and $\mathbf{a}_2$ be sequences in $\{1, 2\}$ and let $h = v_1 \otimes v_2 - v_2 \otimes v_1$.

(1) $G(1) = v_1$ and $G(2) = v_2$.
(2) If $\mathbf{a} = 2\mathbf{a}_1$, then $G(\mathbf{a}) = v_2 \otimes G(\mathbf{a}_1)$.
(3) If $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_1 1$, then $G(\mathbf{a}) = G(\mathbf{a}_1) \otimes v_1$.
(4) If $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_1(12)\mathbf{a}_2$ with $|\mathbf{a}_1| = k$ and $|\mathbf{a}| = m$, then $G(\mathbf{a}) = h_k(G(\mathbf{a}_1\mathbf{a}_2))$, where $h_k : \mathbf{V}^{\otimes m-2} \to \mathbf{V}^{\otimes m}$ is the linear map given by

$$u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{m-2} \longmapsto u_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_k \otimes h \otimes u_{k+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{m-2}.$$

Remark 3.9. Let $\widetilde{\Upsilon} : G(\mathcal{O}_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{V}^{\otimes n}$ be the identification used in [3, §4.4]. Then we have $\psi \circ \widetilde{\Upsilon} = \Upsilon$, where $\psi : \mathbf{V}^{\otimes n} \to \mathbf{V}^{\otimes n}$ is given by $v_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{a_n} \mapsto v_{a'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{a'_n}$. Then it is not difficult to check $G(\mathbf{a}') = \psi(\widetilde{G}(\mathbf{a}))$, where $\widetilde{G}(\mathbf{a})$ denotes the *upper global basis (= dual canonical basis) element* corresponding to $\mathbf{a}$, which is given in [3].

4. Categorified odd Kashiwara operators

In this section we define the odd Kashiwara operators $\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}, \tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}$ on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ and show that $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ has a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal structure. To define $\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}, \tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}$ we will use tensor products with the infinite-dimensional irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-modules $L(e_n)$ with highest weight e_n and its dual $L(e_n)^*$. The choice of $L(e_n)$ is justified by the properties listed in the next proposition.

Recall that, for a parabolic subalgebra $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, a $\mathfrak{g}$-module is *parabolically induced* from a $\mathfrak{p}$-module M_0 if $M = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{p})} M_0$. In this paper, we take $\mathfrak{p}$ to be the maximal parabolic subalgebra with nilradical $\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{p}$ and the Levi subalgebra $\mathfrak{l}_\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{gl}_{n-1} \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1$.

Proposition 4.1.

(1) Let $L(0) \otimes L(1)$ be the 1-dimensional $\mathfrak{p}$-module on which $\mathfrak{n}_\mathfrak{p}$ acts trivially. Then the $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module $L(e_n)$ is parabolically induced from $L(0) \otimes L(1)$. In particular,

$$\mathrm{Supp}(L(e_n)) = \{e_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i(e_n - e_i) \mid b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

(2) All the weight spaces of $L(e_n)$ are 1-dimensional.

(3) If a $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module M belongs to the category $\mathcal{O}$, then $M \otimes L(e_n)$ belongs to the category $\mathcal{W}$.

Proof. The proofs are standard. For (1) and (2), see for example, [24, Lemma 11.2]. □

Define the functors

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}}_i : \mathcal{O}_{i;n} \to \mathcal{W}_{i+1;n},\ \overline{\mathcal{E}}_i = \mathrm{pr}_{i+1} \circ \big(- \otimes L(e_n)\big).$$

and set

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{O}_n \to \mathcal{W}_n,\ \overline{\mathcal{E}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \overline{\mathcal{E}}_i.$$

The following proposition plays a crucial role in defining the odd Kashiwara operator $\tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}$ on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$.

Proposition 4.2.

(1) The functor $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ is an exact covariant functor such that

$$\overline{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{O}_n \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_n.$$

(2) $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(M(a_1, ..., a_n)) = \begin{cases} M(a_1, ..., a_{n-1}, 2) & \text{if } a_n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } a_n = 2. \end{cases}$

(3) $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(L(a_1, ..., a_n)) = \begin{cases} L(a_1, ..., a_{n-1}, 2) & \text{if } a_n = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } a_n = 2. \end{cases}$

Proof. The fact that $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ is exact and covariant is standard. We next show that the image of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ is in $\mathcal{O}_n$ and prove (2).

We would like to show that if M is in $\mathcal{O}_n$ then $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(M)$ is in $\mathcal{O}_n$ as well. It is enough to prove that for the projective cover P of M in $\mathcal{O}_n$. It is clear that $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(P)$ is locally $U(\mathfrak{n}_+)$-nilpotent, so it remains to show that $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(P)$ is finitely generated. Since every projective in $\mathcal{O}$ has a Verma flag, we may assume that $P = M(\lambda)$ is a Verma module. But then by Proposition 4.1, $M(\lambda) \otimes L(e_n)$ has an infinite filtration with subquotients

$M(\lambda+e_n+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i(e_n-e_i))$, $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The proof of this fact uses the same reasoning as the the proof of the decomposition of $M(\lambda) \otimes L(e_1)$ (for the latter, see for example [13, Theorem 3.6]). It is straightforward to check that if $\lambda + \rho = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i e_i$ for $a_i \in \{1, 2\}$, then the e_n-coordinate of $\lambda+e_n+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i(e_n-e_i)+\rho$ is 1 or 2 only if $b_1 = ... = b_{n-1} = 0$ and $a_n = 1$. We thus proved a stronger statement: $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(M(\lambda)) = M(\lambda + e_n)$ if $a_n = 1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(M(\lambda)) = 0$ otherwise which implies (2).

(3) We will use the notation introduced at the end of Section 3. For a sequence $\mathbf{a} = a_1 \cdots a_n$ in $\{1, 2\}$, set $v_{\mathbf{a}} := v_{a_1} \otimes \cdots v_{a_n}$. Recall that the element $G(\mathbf{a}')$ corresponds to $[L(\mathbf{a})]$ under Υ. For the case $a_n = 2$, recall that $G(\mathbf{a}'1) = \sum_{\mathbf{b}} c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}'}\ v_{\mathbf{b}} \otimes v_1$ for some $c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}'} \in \mathbb{Z}$ by Theorem 3.8(3). Hence we have $[L(\mathbf{a}2)] = \sum_{\mathbf{b}} c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}'}\ [M(\mathbf{b}'2)]$. We obtain $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(L(\mathbf{a}2)) = 0$ by (2).

In order to prove the case $a_n = 1$, it is sufficient to prove the following statement:

$$\text{(4.1)} \qquad \text{if } G(\mathbf{a}2) = \sum_{\mathbf{b}} c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}}\ v_{\mathbf{b}} \otimes v_2 + \sum_{\mathbf{b}} d_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}}\ v_{\mathbf{b}} \otimes v_1, \text{ then } G(\mathbf{a}1) = \sum_{\mathbf{b}} c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}}\ v_{\mathbf{b}} \otimes v_1.$$

Indeed, passing through Υ, it implies that if $[L(\mathbf{a}'1)] = \sum_{\mathbf{b}} c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}}\ [M(\mathbf{b}'1)] + \sum_{\mathbf{b}} d_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}}\ [M(\mathbf{b}'2)]$ for some $c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}}, d_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $[L(\mathbf{a}'2)] = \sum_{\mathbf{b}} c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}}\ [M(\mathbf{b}'2)]$. Hence, by (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
[L(\mathbf{a}'2)] = \quad & \sum_{\mathbf{b}} c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}}\ [M(\mathbf{b}'2)] = \sum_{\mathbf{b}} c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}}\ [\overline{\mathcal{E}}(M(\mathbf{b}'1))] \\
= \quad & [\overline{\mathcal{E}}]\Big(\sum_{\mathbf{b}} c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}}\ [(M(\mathbf{b}'1))] + \sum_{\mathbf{b}} d_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a}}\ [M(\mathbf{b}'2)] \Big) \\
= \quad & [\overline{\mathcal{E}}(L(\mathbf{a}'1))],
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $L(\mathbf{a}'2)$ is isomorphic to $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(L(\mathbf{a}'1))$, as desired.

We will use induction on the length of $\mathbf{a}$. If the length of $\mathbf{a}$ is zero or 1, then it is clear from Theorem 3.8.

First, we consider the case $\mathbf{a} = 2\mathbf{a_1}$ for some $\mathbf{a_1}$. By Theorem 3.8(2), we have $G(\mathbf{a}2) = G(2\mathbf{a_1}2) = v_2 \otimes G(\mathbf{a_1}2)$ and $G(\mathbf{a}1) = G(2\mathbf{a_2}1) = v_2 \otimes G(\mathbf{a_2}1)$. Then (4.1) follows from the induction hypothesis.

Second, if $\mathbf{a} = 1^n$, then $G(1^n2) = v_1^{\otimes n-1} \otimes v_1 \otimes v_2 - v_1^{\otimes n-1} \otimes v_2 \otimes v_1$ and $G(1^{n+1}) = v_1^{\otimes n+1}$ by Theorem 3.8(3),(4). Thus we obtain (4.1).

Last, let $\mathbf{a} = 1^k12\mathbf{a_1}$ for some $k \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{a_1}$. By the induction hypothesis, we know that if $G(1^k\mathbf{a_1}2) = \sum_{\mathbf{b}} c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a_1}}\ v_{\mathbf{b}} \otimes v_2 + \sum_{\mathbf{b}} d_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a_1}}\ v_{\mathbf{b}} \otimes v_1$ for some $c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a_1}}, d_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a_1}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $G(1^k\mathbf{a_1}1) = \sum_{\mathbf{b}} c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a_1}}\ v_{\mathbf{b}} \otimes v_1$. Using Theorem 3.8(4), we obtain

$$G(1^k12\mathbf{a_1}2) = \sum_{\mathbf{b}} c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a_1}}\ v_{\mathbf{b_1}} \otimes h \otimes v_{\mathbf{b_2}} \otimes v_2 + \sum_{\mathbf{b}} d_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a_1}}\ v_{\mathbf{b_1}} \otimes h \otimes v_{\mathbf{b_2}} \otimes v_1,$$

and

$$G(1^k12\mathbf{a_1}1) = \sum_{\mathbf{b}} c_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{a_1}}\ v_{\mathbf{b_1}} \otimes h \otimes v_{\mathbf{b_2}} \otimes v_1,$$

where $h = v_1 \otimes v_2 - v_2 \otimes v_1$ and $\mathbf{b_1}$ (respectively, $\mathbf{b_2}$) stands for the first k terms (respectively, last $|\mathbf{b}| - k$ terms) of $\mathbf{b}$. Therefore, we obtain (4.1). □

Remark 4.3. Using Proposition 4.2(2) we may show that $\overline{\mathcal{E}} : \mathcal{O}_n \to \mathcal{O}_n$ coincides with the functor

$$M \mapsto \mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{W}_n}(M \otimes L(e_n)),$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{W}_n} : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{W}_n$ stands for the canonical projection functor.

In view of the above proposition, it is natural to define

$$\tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}([S]) = [\overline{\mathcal{E}}(S)] \quad \text{for} \quad S \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n).$$

Now we will construct a left adjoint of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$, which will be denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$. We will apply the technique originally introduced by Fiebig for Kac-Moody algebras [5] and later adopted by Kåhrström [14], to a case similar to ours .

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and a $\mathfrak{gl}_n$- module M in $\mathcal{W}$, denote by $M^{\not\leqslant \lambda}$ the submodule of M generated by all the weight spaces M^μ with $\mu \not\leqslant \lambda$. Set

$$M^{\leqslant \lambda} := M/M^{\not\leqslant \lambda}.$$

For $i = 0, ..., n$, define

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}_i : \mathcal{O}_{i;n} \to \mathcal{W}_{i-1,n-i+1},\ \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i = \mathrm{pr}_{i-1} \circ \big(- \otimes L(e_n)^* \big)^{\leqslant(\omega_i - \rho)}$$

(recall that $\omega_i := 2\sum_{j=1}^{i} e_j + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} e_j$). Now define

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{O}_n \to \mathcal{W}_n,\ \overline{\mathcal{F}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n} \overline{\mathcal{F}}_i.$$

Proposition 4.4. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

(1) The functor $M \mapsto M^{\leqslant \lambda}$ is right exact on $\mathcal{W}$.
(2) If M belongs to $\mathcal{O}$, then $(M \otimes L(e_n)^*)^{\leqslant \lambda}$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}$ as well.
(3) The functor $\overline{\mathcal{F}}_i$ is the left adjoint of the functor $\overline{\mathcal{E}}_{i-1}$. Furthermore, we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}_i(M(a_1, \ldots, a_n)) = \begin{cases} M(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 1) & \text{if } a_n = 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } a_n = 1. \end{cases}$$

(4) The functor $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is the left adjoint of $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$.

Proof. Part (1) is [14, Lemma 2.9], while part (2) is [14, Corollary 2.12]. For part (3) we follow the proof of [14, Theorem 3.4]. Note that Theorem 3.4 in [14] is for the principal block $\mathcal{O}_0$ of $\mathcal{O}$, namely for the functor $M \mapsto \mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{O}_0}(M \otimes L(e_n)^*)^{\leqslant 0}$ but the same reasoning applies for the block $\mathcal{O}_{i;n}$. To find $\mathrm{pr}_{i-1}(M(a_1, ..., a_n) \otimes L(e_n)^*)^{\leqslant(\omega_i - \rho)}$ we first use Proposition 4.1 and fix a basis v_b, $b = (b_1, ..., b_{n-1}) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{n-1}$, such that

$\mathrm{wt}(v_b) = e_n + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j(e_n - e_j)$. Then the set $\{v_b^* \mid b \in (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})^{n-1}\}$ forms a basis of $L(e_n)^*$. Thus, if v is a highest weight vector of $M(a_1, ..., a_n)$ then

$$M(a_1, ..., a_n) \otimes L(e_n)^* = \bigoplus_b U(\mathfrak{n}_-)(v \otimes v_b^*)$$

as $U(\mathfrak{n}_-)$-modules. Now using [14, Proposition 2.10] we have that

$$(M(a_1, ..., a_n) \otimes L(e_n)^*)^{\leqslant(\omega_i - \rho)} = \bigoplus_{\mathrm{wt}(v \otimes v_b^*) \leqslant \omega_i - \rho} U(\mathfrak{n}_-)(v \otimes v_b^*)$$

Since the e_n-coordinate of $\mathrm{wt}(v \otimes v_b^*) + \rho$ is $a_n - 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j$, we have that $\mathrm{wt}(v \otimes v_b^*) + \rho \leq \omega_i$ only if $a_n - 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \geq 1$. Hence $a_n = 2$ and $b_1 = ... = b_{n-1} = 0$. This completes the proof of (3). Part (4) follows from part (3). □

Set

$$\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}([S]) := [\mathrm{hd}\overline{\mathcal{F}}(\mathrm{S})].$$

Remark 4.5. One easily checks that even for $n = 2$, $[\mathrm{hd}\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}(S)]$ might be different from $[\overline{\mathcal{F}}(S)]$. Indeed, if $S = L(2, 2)$, then by Proposition 4.4(3),

$$[\overline{\mathcal{F}}(L(2,2))] = [\overline{\mathcal{F}}(M(2,2))] = [M(2,1)] = [L(2,1)] + [L(1,2)].$$

Lemma 4.6. For $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in \{1, 2\}$, we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}}(L(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 1)) = 0 \text{ and } \mathrm{hd}\,\overline{\mathcal{F}}(L(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 2)) = L(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 1).$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.4(3), we know that $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ maps a simple module in $\mathcal{O}_n$ to a highest weight module in $\mathcal{O}_n$ or 0. Hence $\overline{\mathcal{F}}(S)$ has a simple head for $S \in \mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$, if it is nonzero. Now the assertion follows from Proposition 4.2(3) and Proposition 4.4(4). □

Theorem 4.7.

(1) There is a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal structure on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ with odd Kashiwara operators $\tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}$ and $\tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}$ given above.
(2) As a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal, $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes n}$.

Proof. Let ψ be the map given by $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \mapsto a_1' \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n'$, where $a_i = 1$ or 2, $1' = 2$, $2' = 1$.

For part (1), we use Proposition 4.2(3) and Lemma 4.6.

For part (2), one can easily check that $x[L(a_1, ..., a_n)] = [L(\psi^{-1} x \psi(a_1, ..., a_n))]$ for $x = \tilde{f}_{\overline{1}}, \tilde{e}_{\overline{1}}$, whenever $x[L(a_1, ..., a_n)] \neq 0$. □

5. Invariants of connected components

One of the important properties of the $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal structure of $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ is that the the isomorphism classes of simple objects in a fixed parabolic subcategory of $\mathcal{O}_n$ form a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-subcrystal of $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$. A similar but slightly weaker statement holds for the $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$. To formulate this statement, we need to introduce some notation.

For a sequence $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, ..., a_n)$ of 1's and 2's, let

$$I_{\mathrm{fin}}(a_1, ..., a_n) := \{i \mid a_i = 2 \text{ and } a_{i+1} = 1\}.$$

In particular, $I_{\mathrm{fin}}(a_1, ..., a_n)$ is a subset of $\{1, ..., n-1\}$. Recall that for an irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module M in $\mathcal{W}$ and a root α of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$, every root vector x in the α-root space acts either injectively or locally finitely on M. Indeed, this follows from the fact that the set of all m in M for which $x^N m = 0$ for sufficiently large $N \geq 1$ forms a submodule of M. For a module L in the category $\mathcal{O}$, we define $\Pi_{\mathrm{fin}}(L)$ to be the set of simple roots α such that the vectors in the $(-\alpha)$-root space act locally finitely on L.

For a subset I of $\{1, ..., n-1\}$, denote by $\mathcal{O}_I$ the parabolic subcategory of $\mathcal{O}$ consisting of all $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-modules M on which the root vectors of $-e_i + e_{i+1}$ $(i \in I)$ act locally finitely. Some properties of $\mathcal{O}_I$ related to the $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal structure of $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$ are listed in the following proposition. We refer the reader to [13, Chapter 9] for other important properties of $\mathcal{O}_I$.

Proposition 5.1. Let $a_i = 1$ or 2 for $i = 1, ..., n$.

(1) $\Pi_{\mathrm{fin}}(L(a_1, ..., a_n)) = \{e_i - e_{i+1} \mid i \in I_{\mathrm{fin}}(a_1, ..., a_n)\}$.
(2) Let L be a irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module whose isomorphism class belongs to the connected component $C([L(a_1, \ldots, a_n)])$ in the $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-crystal $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$.
Then $\Pi_{\mathrm{fin}}(L) = \Pi_{\mathrm{fin}}(L(a_1, ..., a_n))$. In particular, L belongs to $\mathcal{O}_I$, where $I = I_{\mathrm{fin}}(a_1, ..., a_n)$.
(3) For every subset I of $\{1, ..., n-1\}$, the isomorphism classes of irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-modules in $\mathcal{O}_n \cap \mathcal{O}_I$ form a $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-subcrystal of $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$.

Proof. Part (1) is a standard fact. For parts (2) and (3), we use Theorem 2.3 or the fact that if $\alpha \in \Pi_{\mathrm{fin}}(L)$ and x is in the $(-\alpha)$-root space then x acts locally finitely on $L \otimes L(e_1)$ and $L \otimes L(e_1)^*$. □

The $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-version of the above proposition is the following.

Proposition 5.2. Let $a_i = 1$ or 2 for $i = 1, ..., n$.

(1) If $\overline{\mathcal{E}}(L(a_1, \ldots, a_n)) \neq 0$ (equivalently, $a_n = 1$), then
$$\Pi_{\mathrm{fin}}(\overline{\mathcal{E}}(L(a_1, \ldots, a_n))) = \Pi_{\mathrm{fin}}(L(a_1, \ldots, a_n)) \setminus \{e_{n-1} - e_n\}.$$
(2) Let $L(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ $(b_i = 1, 2)$ be the irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-module whose isomorphism class belongs to the connected component $C([L(a_1, \ldots, a_n)])$ in the $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-crystal $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$.

Then $L(b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_I$, where $I = I_{\mathrm{fin}}(a_1, ..., a_n) \setminus \{n-1\}$.

(3) For every subset I of $\{1, ..., n-2\}$, the isomorphism classes of irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}_n$-modules in $\mathcal{O}_n \cap \mathcal{O}_I$ form a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-subcrystal of $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_n)$.

Proof. Part (1) follows from Theorem 4.7(2) and Proposition 5.1(1). Parts (2) and (3) follow from (1). □

We finish this section with a result on the decomposition of the $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-connected components of $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes n}$ into $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-connected components.

Proposition 5.3. Let ℓ be a $\mathfrak{q}(2)$-lowest weight vector in $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes n}$ with $|\widehat{\ell}| \geq 2$. Then $C([L(\ell')]) = A \sqcup B$, where A and B are the following $\mathfrak{gl}_2$-subcrystals, which are connected in $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{n}})$.

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{[L(a')] \mid \overline{a} = \overline{\ell}, I_{\mathrm{fin}}(\widehat{a}') = \emptyset\}, \\ B &= \{[L(a')] \mid \overline{a} = \overline{\ell}, I_{\mathrm{fin}}(\widehat{a}') = \{|\widehat{\ell}| - 1\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.7(2), we can use the description of $\mathbf{B}^{\otimes n}$ in Section 3. Then by Proposition 3.6(2), we may assume that $\ell = 2^n$ and hence we obtain $C([L(\ell')]) = C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}([L(2^n)]) \sqcup C_{\mathfrak{gl}_2}([L(2^{n-1}1)])$ by Proposition 3.4. The statement follows from Proposition 5.1(2). □

References

[1] G. Benkart, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, *Crystal bases for the quantum superalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}(m,n))$*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (2000), 293–331.

[2] J. Bernstein, I. Frenkel, M. Khovanov, *A categorification of the Temperley-Lieb algebra and Schur quotients of $U(sl_2)$ via projective and Zuckerman functors*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **5** (1999), no. 2, 199–241.

[3] J. Brundan, A. Kleshchev, *Representations of shifted Yangians and finite W-algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **196** (2008), no. 918, viii+107 pp.

[4] J. Chuang, R. Rouquier, *Derived equivalences for symmetric groups and $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-categorification*, Ann. Math. **167** (2) (2008), no. 1, 245–298.

[5] P. Fiebig, *Centers and translation functors for the category $\mathcal{O}$ over Kac-Moody algebras*, Math. Z. **243** (4) (2003), 689–717.

[6] I. B. Frenkel, M. G. Khovanov, A. A. Kirillov, Jr., *Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and canonical basis*, Transform. Groups **3** (4) (1998), 321–336.

[7] I. Frenkel, M. Khovanov, C. Stroppel, *A categorification of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of quantum sl_2 and their tensor products*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **12** (2006), 379–431.

[8] D. Grantcharov, J. H. Jung, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, M. Kim, *Quantum queer superalgebra and crystal bases*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **86** (2010), 177–182.

[9] D. Grantcharov, J. H. Jung, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, M. Kim, *Crystal bases for the quantum queer superalgebra*, to appear in J. Eur. Math. Soc.

[10] D. Grantcharov, J. H. Jung, S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, M. Kim, *Crystal bases for the quantum queer superalgebra and semistandard decomposition tableaux*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014) 457–489.

[11] D. Grantcharov, J. H. Jung, S.-J. Kang, M. Kim, *Highest weight modules over quantum queer superalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{q}(n))$*, Commun. Math. Phys. **296** (2010), 827–860.
[12] J. Hong, S.-J. Kang, *Introduction to Quantum Groups and Crystal Bases*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **42**, American Mathematical Society, 2002.
[13] J. Humphreys, *Representations of Semisimple Lie Algebras in the BGG Category $\mathcal{O}$*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics **94**, American Mathematical Society, 2008.
[14] J. Kåhrström, *Tensoring with infinite-dimensional modules in $\mathcal{O}_0$*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **13** (2010), 561–587.
[15] S.-J. Kang, *Crystal bases for quantum affine algebras and combinatorics of Young walls*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **(3) 86** (2003), 29–69.
[16] M. Kashiwara, *Crystalizing the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Commun. Math. Phys. **133** (1990), 249–260.
[17] ———, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465–516.
[18] ———, *Crystal base and Littelmann's refined Demazure character formula*, Duke Math. J. **71** (1993), 839–858.
[19] J.-H. Kwon, Super duality and Crystal bases for quantum orthosymplectic superalgebras, arXiv:1301.1756.
[20] M. Kashiwara, T. Nakashima, *Crystal graphs for representations of the q-analogue of classical Lie algebras*, J. Algebra **165** (1994), 295–345.
[21] I. Losev, *Highest weight sl_2-categorifications I: crystals*, Math. Z. **274** (2013), 1231–1247.
[22] G. Lusztig, *Canonical bases arising from quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1990), 447–498.
[23] G. Lusztig, *Quivers, perverse sheaves, and quantized enveloping algebras*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1991), 365–421.
[24] O. Mathieu, *Classification of weight modules*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **50** (2000), 537–592.
[25] G. Olshanski, *Quantized universal enveloping superalgebra of type Q and a super-extension of the Hecke algebra*, Lett. Math. Phys. **24** (1992), 93–102.
[26] A. Sergeev, *The tensor algebra of the tautological representation as a module over the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{gl}(n,m)$ and $Q(n)$*, Mat. Sb. **123** (1984), 422–430 (in Russian).
[27] C. Stroppel, *Categorification of the Temperley-Lieb category, tangles, and cobordisms via projective functors*, Duke Math. J. **126** (2005), 547–596.

Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX 76021, USA
E-mail address: grandim@uta.edu

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail address: jhjung@math.snu.ac.kr

Department of Mathematical Sciences and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail address: sjkang@snu.ac.kr

School of Mathematics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul , Korea
E-mail address: mhkim@kias.re.kr